

Please amend the above-identified application as follows:

In the claims:


Please add new claims 13-19 as follows.

sub 2  
13. The method of claim 3 wherein the trialkyl phosphate is tri n-butyl phosphate.

14. The method of claim 3 wherein the trialkyl phosphate is triisobutyl phosphate.

15. The method according to claim 11 wherein the antioxidants can be aminic or phenolic or a mixture of the two.

16. The method of claim 12 wherein the acid control additive is of the formula



wherein R is selected from the group consisting of an alkyl group of from 1 to 10 carbon atoms optionally containing from 1 to 4 ether oxygen atoms therein and cycloalkyl of from 3 to 10 carbon atoms, each R' is independently selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, alkyl of 1 to 10 carbon atoms and -C(O)OR" where R" is alkyl of from 1 to 10 carbon atoms and 0 to 4 ether oxygen atoms therein or cycloalkyl of from 3 to 10 carbon atoms, and R''' is selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, alkyl of from 1 to 10 carbon atoms and -C(O)OR" where R" is alkyl of from 1 to 10

carbon atoms optionally containing from 1 to 4 ether oxygen atoms therein or cycloalkyl of from 3 to 10 carbon atoms.

17. The method of claim 12 wherein the erosion inhibitor is a perfluoroalkyl or perfluorocycloalkyl sulfonic acid, or a metal salt of the same, or mixture of these sulfonic acid acids and metal salts, where the alkyl groups encompass 1 to 10 carbon atoms and the cycloalkyl groups from 3 to 10 carbon atoms.

18. The method according to claim 12 wherein the viscosity index improver is a poly(alkyl acrylate) or poly(alkyl methacrylate) esters having a molecular weight in the range from 45,000 to 100,000.

19. The method of claim 3 wherein the trialkyl phosphate is a mixture of tri n-butyl phosphate and triisobutyl phosphate.

---

REMARKS

Please note that the first inventor's name was misspelled in the PALM header. It should be spelled Antika.

New claims 13-19 have been added. Support for claims 13-14 is found in paragraphs 18 and 24 of the specification. Support for claim 15 is found in paragraph 39. Support for claim 16 is found in paragraph 29. Support for claim 17 is found in paragraph 36. Support for claim 18 is found in paragraph 26. Support for claim 19 is found in paragraph 18 of the specification. No new matter has been added.

35 USC § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-12 under 35 USC 103(a) over Deetman (U.S. Pat. No. 5,464,551).